

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/879,835	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BENSON, MICHAEL	
	<b>Examiner</b> Thomas Duong	<b>Art Unit</b> 2145	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) Thomas Duong.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Janice Rosier.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 18 March 2005
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

None

**Claims discussed:**

None

**Prior art documents discussed:**

None

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Ex.Duong left a message for Mr.Spivak, Reg. No. 43,148, the attorney of record at Morrison & Foerster LLP, regarding the status of the application since a timely response was not received. Ms.Rosier, returned the call and indicated that the application is abandoned since the applicant cannot be contacted any longer.